# An invention in 2000s: Column Stores for OLAP

ΠΜΣ "Ερευνητικές Κατευθύνσεις στην Πληροφορική″

#### **Επεξεργασία και Ανάλυση Δεδομένων** SPRING SEMESTER 2020

# Row Store and Column Store (logical level)

row-store

column-store



- In a row store, data are stored in the disk tuple-bytuple.
- In a column store, data are stored in the disk column by column
- Columnar DBMS are special purpose databases and are not designed to replace general purpose RDBMS.

# Row Store vs Column Store

Column Store:



Used in: Sybase IQ, Vertica



Used in: Oracle, SQL Server, DB2, Netezza,...

# Row Store and Column Store

For example the query

SELECT account.account number, sum (usage.toll airtime), sum (usage.toll price) FROM usage, toll, source, account WHERE usage.toll\_id = toll.toll\_id AND usage.source id = source.source id AND usage.account\_id = account.account\_id AND toll.type ind in ('AE'. 'AA') AND usage.toll price > 0AND source.type != 'CIBER' AND toll.rating\_method = 'IS' AND usage.invoice date = 20051013 GROUP BY account.account number

Row-store: one row = 212 columns! Column-store: 7 attributes

# Row Store and Column Store

| Row Store                          | Column Store                               |
|------------------------------------|--|
| (+) Easy to add/modify a record    | (+) Only need to read in relevant data     |
| (-) Might read in unnecessary data | (-) Tuple writes require multiple accesses |

• So column stores are suitable for read-mostly, read-intensive, large data repositories

# Columnar Database Systems

- Stores content by columns rather than row.
- The 2-D data represented at conceptual level will be mapped to 1-D data structure at physical level.
- Row-by –Row approach keeps all the information about one entity together.
- Column by –Column approach keeps all attribute information together.
- Column oriented databases handle fixed length data

# RDBMS vs. Columnar Oriented DBMS (Physical Level )

#### Row oriented





# Query Execution (Row oriented)

Select \* from Employee\_database;



### **Query Execution**

Select \* from Employee\_database;



### **Query Execution**

#### Select \* from Employee database;



# Why Column Oriented Database?

- Most data warehousing applications make more number of reads and lesser number of writes.
- They mostly retrieve and analyze fewer columns compared to the several number of columns that actually exist.
- Row oriented databases have the overhead of seeking through all columns.
- Row oriented data warehouses still persistent.

### Query Execution (Columnar Databases)

• Select count(E.id) from Employee\_Database



### Query Execution (Columnar Databases)

• Select count(E.id) from Employee\_Database;



# Tradeoffs

- Row oriented databases work well for granularity at the entity level.
- Column oriented databases work well for granularity at the attribute level.
- Row oriented Optimal write time and abundant reading overhead for retrieval of subset queries.
- Column oriented Optimal read time for subset retrieval queries, bad write performance.

# Applications

- Majorly applicable for Data warehouses and Business Intelligence
  – Required more analytical processing rather transaction processing (Read More and Write Less).
- Online Analytical Processing (At the attribute level)
- Decision making
- Analyzing unorganized BIG DATA with improved granularity
- Data Marts development
- Data Mining
- Latest Assistance to law enforcement agency, SecureAlert

# Data model (Vertica/C-Store)

- Same as relational data model
  - Tables, rows, columns
  - Primary keys and foreign keys

### – Projections

- From single table
- Multiple joined tables
- Example Normal relational model

EMP(name, age, dept, salary) DEPT(dname, floor) Possible C-store model

EMP1 (name, age) EMP2 (dept, age, DEPT.floor) EMP3 (name, salary) DEPT1(dname, floor)

#### Original data

| original data |     |      |        |       |  |  |
|---------------|-----|------|--------|-------|--|--|
| oid           | pid | cust | date   | price |  |  |
| 1             | 12  | Sam  | 1/1/06 | \$100 |  |  |
| 2             | 17  | Mike | 3/4/06 | \$87  |  |  |
| 3             | 18  | Joe  | 1/2/06 | \$12  |  |  |
| 4             | 4   | Andy | 8/4/06 | \$125 |  |  |
|               |     |      |        |       |  |  |

<u>sales</u>

#### **Physically Stored as Columns**

| oid | pid | cust | date   | price |
|-----|-----|------|--------|-------|
| 1   | 12  | Sam  | 1/1/06 | \$100 |
| 2   | 17  | Mike | 3/4/06 | \$87  |
| 3   | 18  | Joe  | 1/2/06 | \$12  |
| 4   | 4   | Andy | 8/4/06 | \$125 |

#### **Split into Several Projections**



#### Partitioned into Segments on Several Machines



# C-Store/Vertica Architecture

(from vertica Technical Overview White Paper)



# Compression

- Trades I/O for CPU
  - -Increased column-store opportunities:
  - -Higher data value locality in column stores
  - Techniques such as run length encoding far more useful

# Benefits in query processing

- Selection has more indices to use
- Projection some "projections" already defined
- Join some projections are materialized joins
- Aggregations works on required columns only

# Summary: the performance gain

- Column representation avoids reads of unused attributes
- Storing overlapping projections multiple orderings of a column, more choices for query optimization
- Compression of data more orderings of a column in the same amount of space
- Query operators operate on compressed representation

# List of Column Databases

- Vertica/C-Store
- SybaseIQ
- MonetDB
- LucidDB
- HANA
- Google's Dremel
- Parcell-> Redshit (Another Cloud-DB Service)